UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/715,425	11/19/2003	Jerome Cornet	ALC 3097	5332
76614 Kramer & Ama	7590 04/12/201 do, P.C.	EXAMINER		
1725 Duke Street Suite 240 Alexandria, VA 22314			BIAGINI, CHRISTOPHER D	
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
,			2442	
			MAIL DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			04/12/2010	PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of Panel Decision
from Pre-Appeal Brief
Review

Application/Control No.	Applicant(s)/Patent under Reexamination	
10/715,425	CORNET ET AL.	
	Art Unit	
Christopher Biagini	2442	
	-	

This is in response to the Pre-Appeal	Brief Request for Review filed 12 February 2010.
 Improper Request – The F reason(s): 	Request is improper and a conference will not be held for the following
☐ The request does not inc	s not been filed concurrent with the Pre-Appeal Brief Request. lude reasons why a review is appropriate. is included with the Pre-Appeal Brief request.
	nse continues to run from the receipt date of the Notice of Appeal or from mmunication, if no Notice of Appeal has been received.
held. The application remains und is required to submit an appeal be brief will be reset to be one month running from the receipt of the no	nt Appeals and Interferences – A Pre-Appeal Brief conference has been der appeal because there is at least one actual issue for appeal. Applicant rief in accordance with 37 CFR 41.37. The time period for filing an appeal in from mailing this decision, or the balance of the two-month time period betice of appeal, whichever is greater. Further, the time period for filing of the 37 CFR 1.136 based upon the mail date of this decision or the receipt date able.
☐ The panel has determine Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1-7, 9-12. Claim(s) withdrawn from con	
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a Notice of ution on the merits remains closed. No further action is required by
	conference has been held. The rejection is withdrawn and a new Office action is required by applicant at this time.
All participants:	
(1) <u>Christopher Biagini</u> .	(3) <u>Rupal Dharia</u> .
(2) <u>Saleh Najjar</u> .	(4)
/Christopher Biagini/ Examiner, Art Unit 2442	/saleh najjar/ Supervisory Patent Examiner, Art Unit 2455